In presenting what I conceived to be a balanced and realistic appraisal of Soviet economic strength, there is always the risk that some newspapers may by selection convey a different impression them intended. My message was certainly not one of complacemey about Soviet economic growth. Further, I do not see how anyone could take much comfort from the statement that Soviet imbastry is likely to grow from about 40 per cent of U. S. in 1958 to 60 per cent in 1970.

Sincerely.

SIGNED

Allen W. Dulles Director

STAT

ORR

Pad 27 Apr 1959

Distribution:
Orig - Addressee

1 - DCI
1 - ORR 1 - Speech file
T - ER

Approved For Release 2003/04/02: CIA-RDP80R01731R000200150067-5

Executive Registry

				A property	28	APR	1959
M.	lesert	₹* 87*	Levine/				

Doar Mr. Levine:

STAT

The statement in my April 8 speech delivered to the Edison Electric Institute that Soviet industry was no more than 40 per cent of U.S. industry is our best estimate based on the latest information. This does represent a downward revision of the estimate that Soviet industry was 40 per cent of U.S. industry for 1956 which I quoted a year ago. The revision resulted in part from the use of a more complete set of 1955 ruble-dollar price ratios them was formerly available and from other new data. For example, the Soviet's own data on electric power input to industry in 1958 showed it to be slightly less than 40 per cent of comparable electric power input in the United States.

It must be granted that there is always the possibility of a considerable margin of error in future projections. Your calculation that Soviet industry might reach 70 per cent of U. S. industry by 1970 is within the reals of possibility. Equally it is possible that Soviet industry may be less than 60 per cent of U. S. industry, the projection which I cited. As my speech makes clear, however, I do not believe it is likely that Soviet industry will catch up as rapidly with that of the United States as you believe, unless U. S. growth is very much slower than expected.

DOCE	MENT	NO.						
NO C	HANG	E IN	CLAS	يتر .٥				
11	GEELA	SSIFE	ED					
SLAS	s. oh	ange	D TO	: TS	S	C	_	
Mir L	REV	EW	PATE			4		
	i Hi							
DATE	:/9/	2/8	7 RE	VIEW	R)			
	7	7				Ъ_		

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/04/02 : CIA-RDP80R01731R000200150067-5

Executive Registry

11 - 3 486

D-R-A-F-T

This does

Dear Mr. Levine:

The statement in my April 8 speech delivered to the Edison

Electric Institute that Soviet industry "was no more than 40

percent of US industry" is our best estimate based on the latest information. It represents a downward revision of the estimate that Soviet industry was 40 percent of US industry for 1956 which I quoted a year ago. The revision involved areas other measures, the use of a more complete set of 1955 ruble-dollar price ratios than was formerly available. Incidentally, the Soviet's own data on electric power input to industry in 1958 showed it to be slightly less than 40 percent of comparable electric power input in the United States.

It must be granted that there is a considerable margin of error in all future projections. Your calculation that Soviet industry might reach 70 percent of US industry by 1970 is within the realm of possibility. Equally it is possible that Soviet industry may be less than 60 percent of US industry, the projection which I cited. As my speech makes clear, however, I do not believe it is likely that Soviet industry will catch up as rapidly with that of the United States as you believe, unless US growth is very much slower than expected.

by her dela

Approved For Release 2003/04/02: CIA-RDP80R01731R000200150067-5

I Music always

In presenting what I conceive to be a balanced and realistic appraisal of Soviet economic strength, I must the risk that some newspapers may by selection convey a different impression than intended. My message was certainly not one of complacency about Soviet economic growth. Further, I do not see how anyone could take much comfort from the statement that Soviet industry about 1970.

Allen W. Dulles
Director

S	T	4	Т

		OCCUPATION.	TOP AND B	оттом 0 <mark>17816300020</mark> 01500
SEND	ER WILLERASO	CONFIDEN	FREPRORO) 78 GOOD 2001500
UNC	CLASSIFIED	L INTELLIGENCE A	GENCY	
	CENTRA	L INTELLIGENCE A	SLIP	
	OFFICI	IAL ROUTING	- UBIEL	DATE
	NAME AND	ADDRESS	INTITAL	-
0	NAME AND	<u></u>	en	1 27 cht
ı 🗀		12		-
2 \ A	2014DCT			
	1 1			
3				
		1 Alles en		
4		- to et		
		- Ed		
5				
6			Top	PARE REPLY
- I		DIRECT REPLY	DE DE	COMMENDATION
	PROVAL	DISPATCH		TURN
	MMENT	FILE		GNATURE
100	MINICIA	INFORMATION	1 1.3.	
	ncurrence rks:	IN Oliver		
Rema	rks: The changes hetter to	DCI asked that he has made in Mr. Levine. you agree with he final form a	you loo the atta them he nd send	will have It along.
	rks: The changes hetter to	DCI asked that he has made in Mr. Levine. you agree with a final form a levine function of the function of th	them he and send	will have it along.
Rema	The changes he letter to	DCI asked that he has made in Mr. Levine. you agree with final form a final final form a final	them he and send	will have it along.
Rema	rks: The changes hetter to	DCI asked that he has made in Mr. Levine. you agree with final form a final final form a final	them he atta them he and send the modern to sell the DCI	will have it along.

STAT

STAT